

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/911,088 07/23/2001		07/23/2001	David W. Ow	16313-0052	4013	
29052	7590	10/02/2002				
		BILL & BRENNA	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
999 PEACH ATLANTA,		REET, N.E. • 09	HELMER, GEORGIA L			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1638	a	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	9		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.		Applicant(s)					
•	09/911,088	09/911,088 OW, I			V, DAVID W.					
•	Examiner			Art Unit						
		Georgia L. I	Helmer		1638					
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the	cover s	heet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2001.									
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is n	ion-fina	ıl.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) 1-66 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application	on Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) 🗌 o	bjected	to by the Exar	miner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the									
11)[7	he proposed drawing correction filed on		-		ved by the Examin	er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		5) 🔲 N		r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/911,088

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-36, 39 and 40, drawn to methods of obtaining site-specific gene replacement, classified in class 800, subclass 278.
 - II. Claims 37, 38, and 52, drawn to plants, classified in class 800 subclass295.
 - III. Claims 40-51, drawn to methods of producing a transgenic plant, classified in class 800, subclass 278.
 - IV. Claims 53-66, drawn to a method of gene stacking, classified in class 800, subclass 278.
- 2. If Groups I-IV are elected, further election is required of the following, (A) (F), and one of (a) (e).

Irreversible Recombinase proteins

- (A) Phi C31 integrase.
- (B) Coliphage P4 recombinase.
- (C) Coliphage lambda recombinase.
- (D) Listeria U153 phage recombinase.
- (E) Listeria A118 phage recombinase.
- (F) Actinophage R4 Sre recombinase.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/911,088

Art Unit: 1638

Reversible Recombinase Proteins

- (a) Cre.
- (b) FLP.
- (c) Gin.
- (d) R.
- (e) Beta.
- 3. If Groups I or IV are elected, further election is required of one of the following,(i) or (ii),
 - (i) Mammalian cell.
 - (ii) Plant cell.
- 4. Inventions (A)/(F), (a)/(e) and (i)/(ii) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects—the two sets of enzymes have different catalytic properties and produce different products, and the Mammalian/Plant cells are complex, living, eukaryotic cells.
- 5. Inventions I, III, and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In

Application/Control Number: 09/911,088

Art Unit: 1638

the instant case the different inventions they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. These three methods use different starting materials, have different steps and produce different final products.

- 6. Inventions II and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process—the plants can be made by transformation with the final desired constructs.
- 7. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process—the plants can be made by transformation with the final desired constructs.
- 8. Inventions IV and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/911,088

Art Unit: 1638

and materially different process—the plants can be made by transformation with the final desired constructs.

- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the literature and sequence searches required for each of the Groups are not required for another of the Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Georgia L. Helmer whose telephone number is 703-308-7023. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson can be reached on 703-306-3218. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

PHUONG 1.BUI